## SHORT PAPER

# Cyanoacetylurea in heterocyclic synthesis: A simple synthesis of heterocyclic condensed uracils<sup>†</sup> Yehia A. Allam, Randa H. Swellem and Galal A. M. Nawwar\*

Pesticides Laboratory, National Research Centre, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt

The condensation products of cyanoacetylurea with carbonyl compounds were utilized to prepare fused uracils through the intermediate formation of o-aminoureidocarbonyl heterocycles.

## Keywords: cyanoacetylurea, fused pyrimidines

Cyanoacetylurea **1** has been used as starting material for the synthesis of a variety of heterocycles<sup>1</sup> being easily prepared from low cost materials. Also, uracils and their substitued derivatives have been prepared to investigate their biological behaviour.<sup>2,3</sup> In continuation of this work, **1** was used to synthesise uracils fused with different heterocyclic rings.

Thus, cyanoacetylurea<sup>4</sup> condensed readily with aromatic aldehydes, namely, benzaldehyde, 2-furaldehyde, 2-nitrobenzaldehyde and thiophene-2-carboxaldehyde, affording the corresponding arylmethylene derivatives 2a-d. These compounds showed the ylidene H at  $\delta \sim 8.1 \text{ ppm}^5$  in addition to signals from the aryl group. Compound 2a reacted with cyanoacetohydrazide 3 in ethanol in the presence of triethylamine to afford a new compound with a molecular ion peak at m/z 312. It showed in its <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum the ureido NH<sub>2</sub> and NH signals at  $\delta$  7.1, 7.8 and 9.7 ppm. It revealed in the IR a CN absorption at 2200 cm<sup>-1</sup> already present in 2a but it lacked the methine singlet. Accordingly, the aminopyridone structure 4a was given to this product. Upon repeating the same reaction with the furyl derivative 2b, compound 4b was obtained in good yield. This structure assignment was supported by microanalytical, spectral data and previous reports.<sup>6</sup>

The chemical behaviour of 4a,b was also in accordance with their structure. Thus, when each of compounds 4a,b was refluxed in DMF, new products were formed with three v CO absorptions, a CN and three (D<sub>2</sub>O exchangeable) singlets beside the aryl radical, and absence of the characteristic ureido NH<sub>2</sub> and NH signals.<sup>1</sup> Their mass spectral data, exemplified by the reaction product from 4a, showed a molecular ion peak corresponding to that of the parent compound minus a mole of ammonia which could be detected during the reaction course. Based on these data, the pyridopyrimidinetrione structure **5** was assigned to these compounds, plausibly formed via cyclization between the ureido residue and the adjacent amino group with loss of ammonia. These compounds, *i.e.* **5a**,**b** could be also obtained in a one step reaction by refluxing **2a**,**b** with two moles of cyanoacetohydrazide in DMF.

Following a parallel pathway, when compound **2c** was reduced with Zn dust in acetic acid, the quinolinopyrimidine derivative **6** was obtained in moderate yield. It showed the quinolinopyrimidine 5-H at  $\delta = 9.0$  ppm along with the other aromatics at their expected locations while in its mass spectral data the molecular ion peak appeared as the base peak at m/z 213. Compound **6** is assumed to be formed through the intermediacy of 2-amino-3-ureidocarbonylquinoline via transformation of the NO<sub>2</sub> group to NH<sub>2</sub> with subsequent cyclisation accompanied by loss of ammonia similar to the formation of **5**.

Then, the reaction of **2a,d** with 2-cyanomethylthiazol-4-one **7** was carried out in the presence of triethylamine. New compounds were formed showing <sup>1</sup>H NMR singlets at  $\delta \sim 5.0$  and 8.3 ppm and the mass spectral data of the thienyl derivative gave a molecular ion peak at m/z 438. On these bases and the abovementioned results, the thiazolopyridouracil structure **8** was given to these products where the *o*-aminoureidocarbonylthiazolopyridines were formed first,<sup>7</sup> followed by self-cyclisation with loss of ammonia to give the uracil fragment as stated in the formation of structures **5** and **7**.

Following a similar synthetic pathway, when compound **2d** was heated with 6-amino-*N*,*N*-dimethyluracil<sup>8</sup> **9** in DMF at 100 °C, the pyrimidopyridouracil derivative **10** was obtained. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the latter showed two signals (D<sub>2</sub>O exchangeable, 1H each) attributable to the NH groups and lacking the NH<sub>2</sub> ureido one. Also, the mass spectal data of **10** was in accordance with the given structure.

It is assumed that **9** reacts with **2d** via initial Michael addition on the C-5 active methylene nucleophile of **9** then self-cyclisation on the CN group affording the uracil aminopyridine intermediate which further cyclised as explained above to form **10**.

Continuing our investigation, when compound **1** was fused with acetylacetone at 130°C, the known<sup>9</sup> 3-cyano-4,6dimethylpyridin-2-one was obtained. However, when the reaction was repeated in acetic acid under reflux, a new compound was formed with a molecular formula  $C_9H_{11}N_3O_3 m/z$  209, for which the iminoureidocarbonylpyran structure **11** was given. Its <sup>1</sup>H NMR showed the pyran 5-H at  $\delta = 6.2$  ppm with absence of the parent IR CN absorption.

The chemical behaviour of **11** also confirmed this structure. Thus, upon its treatment with hydrazine hydrate, the reaction afforded a new compound having a molecular ion peak as the base peak equivalent to the sum of both reactants minus a mole of H<sub>2</sub>O and a mole of NH<sub>3</sub>. It showed only three (D<sub>2</sub>O exchangeable) signals at  $\delta = 11.5$ , 11.1 (2H) and 11.9 (1H) ppm. So, the *N*-aminopyridouracil structure **12** was given to this product. The nucleophilic attack of hydrazine on the iminopyran caused its ring opening to form an intermediate which self-cyclised twice to form the pyridine nucleus (via loss of H<sub>2</sub>O) and the uracil ring (via loss of NH<sub>3</sub>). These assumptions could be explained in terms of structure **12** and its *N*-aminouracil analogue. However, the latter was excluded based on the mass spectral data which showed a fragment corresponding to the *N*-aminopyridine structure.

#### Experimental

Melting points were taken on an Electrothermal 9100 apparatus. IR spectra were recorded with a Carl Zeiss spectrophotometer model UR 10 in KBr pellets. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were determined with a JEOL 270 MHz instrument (internal TMS). Mass spectra were recorded with a Finnigan SSQ 7000 mass spectrometer. Microanalyses were per-

<sup>\*</sup> To receive any correspondence. E-mail: g.nawwar@link.net.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> This is a Short Paper, there is therefore no corresponding material in J Chem. Research (M).



formed by the Central Service Laboratory at Cairo University and the Microanalytical Unit at the National Research Center.

*1-Aryl-2-ureidocarbonylacrylonitriles* (**2a–d**): *General procedure*: A mixture of **1** (10 mmol) with an equimolecular amount of the appropriate aldehyde was refluxed in acetic acid (25 ml) for 1h. A precipitate was formed on hot, filtered off and crystallised. The phenyl derivative **2a** (yield 90 %) had m.p. 230–232 °C (from acetic acid);  $v_{max}$  /cm<sup>-1</sup> (KBr) 3320, 3180 (NH<sub>2</sub>, NH), 2200 (CN), 1680, 1670 (2 CO);  $\delta$ H (DMSO) 7.2–7.6 (m, 7H, Ph and NH<sub>2</sub>), 8.1 (s, 1H, ylidene H), 9.7 (brs, 1H, NH) (Found: C,61.2; H, 4.1; N, 19.2. C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>9</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (215.20) requires: C, 61.4; H, 4.2; N, 19.5 %). The furyl derivative **2b** (yield 80%) had m.p. 240-242 (from acetic

The furyl derivative **2b** (yield 80%) had m.p. 240-242 (from acetic acid);  $v_{max}$  / cm<sup>-1</sup> (KBr) 3320, 3180 (NH<sub>2</sub>, NH), 2200 (CN), 1680, 1670 (2 CO);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (DMSO) 6.2 (m, 2H, furan 4-H and 3-H), 7.6–7.8 (m, 3H, furan 5-H and NH<sub>2</sub>), 8.1 (s, 1H, ylidene H), 9.6 (brs, 1H, NH) (Found: C, 52.6; H, 3.2; N, 20.2. C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>7</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (205.17) requires C, 52.7; H, 3.4; N, 20.5 %).

The 2-nitrophenyl derivative **2c:** (yield 90%) had m.p. 208–210 °C (from acetic acid) ;  $v_{max}$  / cm<sup>-1</sup> (KBr) 3450, 3300 (NH<sub>2</sub>, NH), 2220 (CN), 1690, 1680 (2 CO);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (DMSO) 7.5, 7.6 (2s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.8–8.0 (m, 3H, Ph 4-6-H), 8.2 (dd, *J* = 7Hz, 1H, Ph 3-H), 8.7 (s, 1H, ylidene H), 10.6 (s, 1H, NH) (Found: C, 50.5; H, 3.0; N, 21.2. C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>8</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (260.20) requires C, 50.8; H, 3.1; N, 21.5 %).

The thienyl derivative **2d:** (yield 80%) had m.p. 210 °C (from DMF/  $H_2O$ );  $v_{max}$ / cm<sup>-1</sup> (KBr) 3320, 3180 (NH<sub>2</sub>,NH), 2200 (CN), 1680, 1670 (2 CO);  $\delta$ H (DMSO) 7.0 (dd, 1H, thiophene 4-H), 7.4, 7.6 (2s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>),7.8 (dd, 1H, thiophene 3-H), 8.1, (dd, 1H, thiophene 5-H), 8.2 (s, 1H, ylidene H), 10.4 (s, 1H, NH) (Found: C,48.8; H, 3.0; N, 18.7; S, 14.2. C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>7</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S (221.32) requires C, 48.9; H, 3.2 ; N, 19.0; S, 14.5 %).

4-Aryl-5-cyano-3-ureidocarbonyl-1,2-diaminopyridin-6-one (4a,b): General procedure: Each of compounds 2a,b (20 mmol) was refluxed with 3 (10 mmol) in ethanol (30 ml) in presence of triethylamine (3 drops) for 1h. The solid formed was collected by filtration then crystallised.

The phenyl derivatve **4a** (yield 70%) had m.p. 235–237 °C (from ethanol);  $v_{max}$  / cm<sup>-1</sup> (KBr) 3280, 3200 (NH<sub>2</sub>, NH), 2200 (CN), 1690, 1680, 1660 (3CO);  $\delta$ H (DMSO) 5.7 (2s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.1–7.5 (m, 7H, Ph and NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.8 (s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 9.7 (s, 1H, NH) (Found: C, 53.6; H, 3.7; N, 26.6. C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (312.29) requires C, 53.8; H, 3.9; N, 26.9%). MS: *m/z* 312 [M<sup>+</sup>].

*The furyl derivative* **4b** (yield 60%) had m.p. 248–250 °C (from ethanol);  $v_{max}$  / cm<sup>-1</sup> (KBr) 3300, 3200 (NH<sub>2</sub>, NH), 2200 (CN), 1700, 1680, 1660, (3CO);  $\delta$ H (DMSO) 5.7(s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 6.8 (s, 1H, furan 4-H), 7.5, 7.6 (2s, 1H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 8.2 (m, 4H, furan 3-H, 5-H and NH<sub>2</sub>),10.4 (s,1H, NH) (Found: C, 47.5; H, 3.2; N, 27.5. C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>10</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (302.25) requires C, 47.7; H, 3.3; N, 27.8 %).

8-Amino-5-aryl-6-cyanopyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidine-2,4,7 (1H,3H,8H)-trione (5a,b): General procedure: (a) From 2. A mixture of each of 2a,b (20 mmol) with 3 (10 mmol) was refluxed in DMF (20ml) in presence of triethylamine (20 mmol) for 2–3 h. After cooling, water was added to the solution until precipitation commenced; the solid formed was filtered off and crystallised. (b) From 4. The procedure was the same as in (a) except that 4a,b (10 mmol) was used in place of the mixture (2 with 3).

The phenyl derivative **5a** (Yield 45% by method a and 60% by method b) had m.p. 270 °C (from acetic acid ).  $v_{max}$ / cm<sup>-1</sup> (KBr) 3310, 3200 (NH<sub>2</sub>, NH), 2200 (CN), 1680, 1660, 1650 (3 CO);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (DMSO) 5.7(s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.0–7.5 (m, 5H, Ph), 10.6 (s, 1H, NH), 11.1 (s, 1H, NH) (Found: C, 56.8; H, 3.0; N, 23.5. C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>9</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (295.25) requires C, 57.0; H, 3.1; N, 23.7 %). MS: *m*/z 295 [M<sup>+</sup>].

*The furyl derivative* **5b:** (yield 30% by method a and 40% by method b) had m.p. 246–248 °C ( from acetic acid ).  $v_{max}$ / cm<sup>-1</sup> (KBr) 3300, 3150 (NH<sub>2</sub>, NH), 2200 (CN), 1680, 1660, 1650 (3CO);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (DMSO) 5.7(s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 6.7 (m, 1H, furan 4-H), 7.0 (m, 1H, furan 3-H), 7.7 (m, 1H, furan 5-H), 7.8 (s, 1H, NH), 10.0 (s, 1H, NH) (Found: C, 50.2; H, 2.3; N, 24.3.  $C_{12}H_7N_5O_4$  (285.22) requires C, 50.5; H, 2.5; N, 24.6 %).

*Pyrimido*[4,5-*b*]*quinoline*-2,4(1H,3H)-*dione* (6): To a boiling solution of **2c**: (10mmol) in acetic acid (20 ml), Zn dust (2g) was added portionwise over 10 minutes; reflux was continued for 2 h and the precipitate formed was collected, washed with water then crystallised to give 6 (yield 40 %) had m.p. > 350 °C (from acetic acid); v<sub>max</sub>/ cm<sup>-1</sup> (KBr) 3050 (NH), 1710, 1700 (2 CO); δ<sub>H</sub> (DMSO) 7.5 (m, 1H, pyrimidoquinoline 9-H), 7.8 (m, 2H, pyrimidoquinoline 7-H, 8-H), 8.1 (dd, 1H, pyrimidoquinoline 6-H), 9.0 ( s, 1H, pyrimidoquinoline 5-H), 11.4 (s, 1H, NH), 11.7 (brs, 1H, NH) (Found: C,61.7; H, 3.1; N, 19.4. C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>7</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (213.19) requires C, 62.0; H, 3.3; N, 19.7 %). MS: *m*/z 213 [M<sup>+</sup>].

8-Arylmethylene-5-aryl-6-cyanothiazolo[3,2:1,6]pyrido [2,3-d]pyrimidine-2,4,9(1H,3H,5H)-triones (8a,b): General procedure: A mixture of each of 2a,d (10mmol) was refluxed in DMF (20 ml) in presence of triethylamine (3 drops) for 4-5 h. After partial concentration and cooling, a precipitate was formed, filtered off and crystallised.

*The phenyl derivative* **8a**: (yield 60 %) had m.p. > 350 °C ( from DMF);  $v_{max}$ / cm<sup>-1</sup> (KBr) 3250, 3200 (2 NH), 1710, 1700 (uracil CO), 1650 (ureido CO);  $\delta$ H (DMF) 5.0 (s, 1H, pyridine 4-H), 7.2, 7.5 (2m, 10H, 2Ph), 8.3 (s, 1H, ylidene H), 10.7 (s,1H, NH), 11.6 (s, 1H, NH) (Found: C,64.6; H, 3.2; N, 12.9; S, 7.2. C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S (426.43) requires: C, 64.8; H, 3.3; N, 13.1; S, 7.5 %).

The thienyl derivative **8b** (yield 50 %) had m.p. > 350 °C ( from DMF );  $v_{max}$ / cm<sup>-1</sup> (KBr) 3250, 3200 (2NH), 1710, 1700 (uracil CO), 1660 (ureido CO);  $\delta$ H (DMSO) 5.1 (s, 1H, pyridine 4-H), 7.1, 7.2 (2dd, 2H, 2 thiophene 4-H), 7.4, 7.5, (2dd, 2H, 2 thiophene 3-H), 7.8, 8.1 (2dd, 2H, 2 thiophene 5-H), 8.3 (s, 1H, ylidene H), 10.8 (brs,1H, NH), 11.6 (s, 1H, NH) (Found : C, 51.8; H, 2.2; N, 12.5; S, 21.6. C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>10</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S<sub>3</sub> (438.48) requires : C, 52.0; H, 2.3; N, 12.8; S, 21.9 %). MS : *m/z* 438 [M<sup>+</sup>].

7,9-Dimethyl-5-(2-thienyl)pyrimido[4,5:6,5]pyrido[3,2-d]pyrimidine-2,4,6,8(1H,3H,7H,9H)-tetraone (10): Compound 2d (10 mmol) was heated with 9 (10 mmol) in DMF(25ml) at 100 °C for 6 h. A precipitate, formed after cooling, was filtered off and crystallized to give 10 (yield 40 %), m.p. > 350 °C (from DMF);  $v_{max}$  / cm<sup>-1</sup> (KBr) 1700, 1690, 1650, 1640 (4 CO);  $\delta$ H (DMSO) 3.2, 3.3 (2s, 6 H, 2 CH<sub>3</sub>), 7.1 (dd, 1H, thiophene 4-H), 7.5 (dd, 1H, thiophene 3-H), 7.9 (s, 1H, NH), 8.1 (dd, 1H, thiophene 5-H), 8.5 (s, 1H, NH) (Found : C, 50.3;

H, 3.0; N, 19.4; S, 8.7.  $C_{15}H_{11}N_5O_4S$  (357.34) requires : C, 50.4; H, 3.1; N, 19.6; S, 9.0 %). MS : m/2 357 [M<sup>+</sup>].

4,6-Dimethyl-3-ureidocarbonyl-2-iminopyran (11): Compound 1 (10 mmol) was refluxed with acetylacetone (10 mmol) in glacial acetic acid (20 ml) for 2 h . After cooling, water was added dropwise until precipitation occurred. The solid product formed was then filtered off and crystallised to give 11 (yield 60 %), m.p. 267 °C (from acetic acid);  $v_{max}$ / cm<sup>-1</sup> (KBr) 3400, 3200 (NH<sub>2</sub>, NH), 1672, 1650 (2 CO);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (DMSO) 2.3, 2.4 (2s, 6H, 2CH<sub>3</sub>), 6.2 (s, 1H, pyran 5 H)), 7.3, 7.8 (2s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 11.9 (s, 1H, NH), 12.4 (s, 1H, NH) (Found: C,51.7; H, 5.2; N, 19.8. C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>1</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (209.21) requires C, 51.7; H, 5.3; N, 20.1 %). MS: *m/z* 209 [M<sup>+</sup>].

8-Amino-5,7-dimethylpyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidine-2,4(3H,8H)dione (12): To a hot solution of 11 (10 mmol) in DMF (20 ml), hydrazine hydrate (20 mmol) was added and heating was continued at 90–100 °C for 1/2 h. After cooling, a precipitate was formed, collected and crystallized to give 12: (yield 40 %) had m.p. > 350 °C (from acetic acid);  $v_{max}$ / cm<sup>-1</sup> (KBr) 3350, 3200 (NH<sub>2</sub>, NH), 1660, 1650 (2 CO); 8H (DMSO) 2.2, 2.3 (2s, 6, 2CH<sub>3</sub>), 6.0 (s, 1H, pyridopyrimidine 3-H) ), 11.1, 11.5 (2s, 2H, NH<sub>2</sub>), 11.9 (s, 1H, NH) (Found: C, 52.2; H, 4.7; N, 26.9. C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>10</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (206.21) requires: C, 52.4; H, 4.9; N, 27.2 %). MS: *m*/z 206 [M<sup>+</sup>].

## Received 31 January 2000; accepted 26 March 2001 Paper 01/731

#### References

- 1 Y. A. Allam, L.M. Chabaka and G. A. M. Nawwar, *Heteroatom Chemistry*, 2000, **11**, 209.
- 2 C.I. Hong, A. Nechaev, A.J. Kirisits, R. Vig, C.R. West, K.K. Manouilov and C.K. Chu, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1996, **39**, 1771.
- 3 N. Borg, X.X. Zhou, N.G. Johansson, B. Oberg and L. Stahle, Antiviral Chem., Chemother, 1997, 8, 47.
- 4. B. Bobranski and Z. Synwiedski, J. Am. Pharm. Assoc., Sci. Ed. 1948, 37, 62.
- 5 G.A.M. Nawwar and N.A. Shafik, Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 1995, 60, 2200.
- 6 J.L. Soto, C. Seoane, P. Zamorano and F. J. Guadrado, *Synthesis*, 1981, 7, 529.
- 7 S.A.M. Osman, G. Eldin-H. Elgemeie, G.A.M. Nawwar and M.H. Elnagdi, *Monatsh. Chem.* 1986, **117**, 105.
- 8 E.I. Abramora, A.V. Kuteliya and V. F. Feklistova, *Khim.-Farm. Zh.* 1979, **12**, 96.
- 9 V.S. Hawalder and S.V. Sunthankar, Ind. J. Chem. 1980, 19B, 151.